Online version at https://creative-mathematics.cunbm.utcluj.ro/ Print Edition: ISSN 1584 - 286X; Online Edition: ISSN 1843 - 441X DOI: https://doi.org/10.37193/CMI.2025.01.06

# Isomorphism of Line Graph and Common Neighbourhood Graph

PALATHINGAL, JEEPAMOL J., V. ANU, S. APARNA LAKSHMANAN

ABSTRACT. The line graph L(G) of a graph G has the edges of G as its vertices and two distinct edges of G are adjacent in L(G) if they are incident in G. The common neighborhood graph (congraph) of G, denoted by con(G), is the graph with vertex set  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ , in which two vertices are adjacent if and only if they have at least one common neighbor in the graph G. In this paper we characterize the graphs G for which L(G) and con(G) are isomorphic.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let *G* be a simple graph with vertex set V(G) and edge set E(G). If there is no ambiguity in the choice of *G*, then we write V(G) and E(G) as *V* and *E* respectively. A discrete dynamic system is any set  $\Gamma$  together with a mapping  $\phi : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ , called a graph operator. Operations on graphs are a method by which we seek to construct new graphs from a set of graphs. There are so many operators out of which the first main operator is the line graph operator. The line graph L(G) of a graph *G* has the edges of *G* as its vertices and two distinct edges of *G* are adjacent in L(G) if they are incident in *G* [9]. Line graphs make important connections between many important areas of graph theory. For example, determining a maximum matching in a graph is equivalent to finding a maximum independent set in the corresponding line graph. Similarly, edge colouring is equivalent to vertex colouring in the line graph. Much research has been done on the study and application of line graphs; a comprehensive survey of results is found in[10].

Let *G* be a simple graph with vertex set  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ . The common neighborhood graph(congraph) of *G*, denoted by con(G), is the graph with vertex set  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ , in which two vertices are adjacent if and only if they have at least one common neighbor in the graph *G* [1]. Some interesting papers on the line graph and common neighbourhood graph are [2], [3], [5] and [6].

1.1. **Basic Definitions and Preliminaries.** A complete graph on n vertices, denoted by  $K_n$ , is the graph in which any two vertices are adjacent. A path on n vertices, denoted by  $P_n$ , is the graph with vertex set  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$  and  $v_i$  is adjacent to  $v_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$ . If in addition,  $v_n$  is adjacent to  $v_1$  and  $n \ge 3$ , it is called a cycle of length n, denoted by  $C_n$ . A graph is said to be unicyclic if it has exactly one cycle. Caterpillar is a tree in which all the vertices are within distance one of a central path. ie, if we remove all the pendant vertices from a caterpillar, the resulting graph will be a path.  $G \circ H$  be the coalescence in which one vertex u of G is identified with one vertex v of H.

Received: 14.03.2024. In revised form: 13.09.2024. Accepted: 22.09.2024 2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 05C05.

Key words and phrases. *Line Graph, Common Neighborhood Graph, Isomorphism.* Corresponding author: V. Anu, anusaji1980@gmail.com

Two operators *A* and *B* are said to be isomorphic if and only if  $A(G) \cong B(G)$  for every graph *G*. Generally two operators are not isomrphic, but we can characterize the graphs for which they are isomorphic. Such study is included in papers [7] and [8].

All graph theoretic notations and terminology not mentioned here are from [2].

The following result is useful in our paper.

**Theorem 1.1.** [1] 
$$con(C_n) \cong \begin{cases} C_n, \text{ if } n \text{ is odd and } n \ge 3, \\ P_2 \cup P_2, \text{ if } n = 4, \\ C_{\frac{n}{2}} \cup C_{\frac{n}{2}}, \text{ if } n \text{ is even and } n \ge 6. \end{cases}$$

**2.** ISOMORPHISM OF L(G) AND con(G)

**Theorem 2.2.** For a connected graph G if  $con(G) \cong L(G)$ , then G is a unicyclic graph without even cycles.

*Proof.* If *G* is a connected graph such that  $con(G) \cong L(G)$ , then |V(G)| = |E(G)|. Hence *G* is a unicyclic graph. If *G* contains an even cycle  $C_{2k}$ , then  $C_{2k}$  will make a  $C_{2k}$  itself in L(G) while  $P_k \cup P_k$ , if k = 2 and  $C_k \cup C_k$  if  $k \ge 3$  in con(G) which is a contradiction. Hence we conclude that *G* is a unicyclic graph without even cycles.

**Note:** From Theorem 2.2 it is clear that the graphs with  $con(G) \cong L(G)$  can be constructed from a tree by adding one edge to it. For convenience, in this paper we use the terminology 'root tree' for the initial tree.

**Theorem 2.3.** If  $con(G) \cong L(G)$ , then the root tree is a caterpillar graph.

*Proof.* Let G be a graph with  $con(G) \cong L(G)$ . If the root tree is not a caterpillar graph, there exists a non pendant vertex u which is adjacent to at least three non pendant vertices, say  $u_1, u_2$  and  $u_3$ . Clearly,  $u, u_1, u_2$  and  $u_3$  form an induced  $K_{1,3}$  with u as the central vertex. Since  $u_1, u_2$  and  $u_3$  are non pendant vertices there exist vertices  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  adjacent to  $u_1, u_2, u_3$  respectively. When we consider the subgraph induced by the vertices  $u, v_1, v_2$ and  $v_3$  in con(G) there are two possibilities: the vertices  $u, v_1, v_2$  and  $v_3$  either form an induced  $K_{1,3}$  or not. The first case is not possible since  $K_{1,3}$  is a forbidden subgraph of L(G). For the second case, there exists an edge connecting any two of the vertices in the set  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ . This is possible only when there exists a vertex p common to any two of the vertices in the set  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ . Without loss of generality assume p is a common vertex of  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . If  $p \notin \{u, u_1, u_2, u_3, \}$ , then the vertices  $u, u_1, v_1, p, v_2, u_2, u$  form a cycle of length 6. By Theorem 2.2 this case is not possible in G. Also note that, since G is a unicyclic graph  $p \neq u$  (Refer Figure 1). Now if  $p \in \{u_1, u_2, u_3, \}$ , then the vertices  $u, v_1, v_2$  together with p induce a  $C_4$  (Refer Figure 2). This also leads to a contradiction to Theorem 2.2. Therefore, if  $con(G) \cong L(G)$ , then in the root tree the non pendant vertices form a path. Hence G is a caterpillar graph.

**Theorem 2.4.** If G is a caterpillar graph where the non pendant vertices are  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k$  with degrees  $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_k$ , then,

- (1)  $L(G) \cong K_{d_1} \circ K_{d_2} \circ \ldots \circ K_{d_k}$ .
- (2)  $con(G) \cong G_1 \cup G_2$ , where  $G_1 \cong K_{d_1} \circ K_{d_3} \circ \ldots \circ K_{d_k}$ ,  $G_2 \cong K_{d_2} \circ K_{d_4} \circ \cdots \circ K_{d_{k-1}}$  when k is an odd number and  $G_1 \cong K_{d_1} \circ K_{d_3} \circ \cdots \circ K_{d_{k-1}}$ ,  $G_2 \cong K_{d_2} \circ K_{d_4} \circ \cdots \circ K_{d_k}$  when k is an even number.



FIGURE 1

*Proof.* In *G*, since the number of edges incident on  $v_i$  is  $d_i$ , these  $d_i$  edges form a complete graph  $K_{d_i}$  in L(G). The vertex corresponding to the edge  $v_{i-1}v_i$  in *G* is the only vertex common to  $K_{d_{i-1}}$  and  $K_{d_i}$  in L(G). Therefore in L(G),  $K_{d_{i-1}}$  and  $K_{d_i}$  have exactly one common vertex. Hence  $L(G) \cong K_{d_1} \circ K_{d_2} \circ \cdots \circ K_{d_k}$ .

In *G*, the vertex  $v_i$  is a common neighbour of every vertices in  $N(v_i)$ . By Theorem 2.3, the only non pendant vertices adjacent to  $v_i$  are  $v_{i-1}$  and  $v_{i+1}$ . The vertex  $v_{i-1} \in N(v_{i-2}) \cap N(v_i)$  and the vertex  $v_{i+1} \in N(v_i) \cap N(v_{i+2})$ . Therefore in con(G),  $K_{d_i}$  and  $k_{d_{i+2}}$  have exactly one common vertex. Hence con(G) is the disjoint union of two components  $K_{d_1} \circ K_{d_3} \circ \cdots \circ K_{d_k}$  and  $K_{d_2} \circ K_{d_4} \circ \cdots \circ K_{d_{k-1}}$  when k is an odd number and  $K_{d_1} \circ K_{d_3} \circ \cdots \circ K_{d_{k-1}}$  and  $K_{d_2} \circ K_{d_4} \circ \cdots \circ K_{d_k}$  when k is an even number.

## Note

By Theorems 2.2 and 2.3, to find the graphs for which  $L(G) \cong con(G)$  we have to concentrate only on the collection of graphs,  $\mathcal{G} = \{T \cup e, where T \text{ is a caterpillar graph}\}.$ 

**Theorem 2.5.** In the class of graphs,  $\mathcal{G} = \{T \cup e, where T \text{ is a caterpillar graph}\},\$ 

- if e is an edge joing two non pendant vertices v<sub>l</sub> and v<sub>m</sub>, con(G) ≅ L(G) if and only if l and m are odd numbers and the sequence d<sub>1</sub> + 1, d<sub>2</sub>, d<sub>3</sub>,..., d<sub>m-1</sub>, d<sub>m</sub> + 1 is a cyclic permutation of d<sub>1</sub> + 1, d<sub>3</sub>, d<sub>5</sub>,..., d<sub>m-2</sub>, d<sub>m</sub> + 1, d<sub>2</sub>, d<sub>4</sub>,..., d<sub>m-1</sub>;
- (2) if e = u<sub>l</sub>v<sub>m</sub>, where u<sub>l</sub> is a pendant vertex and v<sub>m</sub> is a non pendant vertex, con(G) ≅ L(G) if and only if m is even and l odd and the sequence d<sub>1</sub>, d<sub>m</sub>+1, d<sub>m-2</sub>, d<sub>m-4</sub>,..., d<sub>2</sub>, 2, d<sub>m-1</sub>,..., d<sub>3</sub> is a cyclic permutation of d<sub>1</sub>, d<sub>2</sub>, d<sub>3</sub>,..., d<sub>m-1</sub>, d<sub>m</sub> + 1, 2;
- (3) e = u<sub>l</sub>u<sub>m</sub>, where u<sub>l</sub> and u<sub>m</sub> are pendant vertices. Let the nonpendant vertices adjacent to u<sub>l</sub>, u<sub>m</sub> are v<sub>l</sub>, v<sub>m</sub> respectively then, con(G) ≅ L(G) if and only if
  (1) T is a star graph, when v<sub>l</sub> = v<sub>m</sub>
  (2) l and m are odd and the sequence d<sub>2</sub>, d<sub>4</sub>, d<sub>6</sub>, ... d<sub>m-1</sub>, 2, d<sub>1</sub>, d<sub>3</sub>, ..., d<sub>m</sub>, 2 is a cyclic

permutation of  $d_1, d_2, d_3, \ldots, d_{m-1}, d_m, 2, 2$ .

where  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k$  denote the non pendant vertices of T and  $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_k$  denote their degrees respectively.

*Proof.* Consider a caterpillar graph T with non pendant vertices  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k$  with degrees  $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_k$  respectively. While adding a new edge to a caterpillar graph, we have to consider the following cases.

- (1) Both are non pendant vertices.
- (2) Exactly one is a pendant vertex.
- (3) Both are pendant vertices.

**Case 1**: Let  $e = v_l v_m$ . By Theorem 2.2, since even cycles are forbidden in *G*, *l* and *m* are either both even or both odd.

If l and m are even numbers (or odd numbers) then both the vertices  $v_l$  and  $v_m$  belong to  $G_1$  (or  $G_2$ ) in con(T). If we join  $v_l$  and  $v_m$  by an edge e to get G, then  $v_m$  becomes adjacent to all the vertices of  $K_{d_l}$  in con(G) which changes  $K_{d_l}$  to  $K_{d_l+1}$ . By the same argument,  $K_{d_m}$  becomes  $K_{d_m+1}$ . In L(G) we have a new vertex which is adjacent to all the vertices of  $K_{d_l}$  and  $K_{d_m}$ . So  $K_{d_l}$  is changed to  $K_{d_l+1}$  and  $K_{d_m}$  changed to  $K_{d_m+1}$ . Also  $K_{d_l+1}$  and  $K_{d_m+1}$  have a common vertex. From Figure 2 it is clear that  $con(G) \cong L(G)$ 



#### FIGURE 2

if and only if  $V(K_{d_{m+1}}) = \{v_m\}, V(K_{d_{m+2}}) = V(K_{d_{m+3}}) = \cdots = \emptyset$  and  $v_l = v_1$ . Also in con(G) the vertex  $v_l$  is common to three cliques,  $K_{d_m+1}, K_{d_{l-1}}$  and  $K_{d_{l+1}}$ . But in L(G)there does not exist any vertex common to three cliques and hence this case is not possible if l and m are even numbers. If l and m are odd numbers, by the above argument,  $v_l$  is common to three cliques,  $K_{d_m+1}, K_{d_{l-1}}$  and  $K_{d_{l+1}}$ . But in this case when we consider,  $v_l = v_1, v_1$  is common to  $K_{d_2}$  and  $K_{d_m+1}$  (Refer Figure 2).

Hence  $L(G) \cong con(G)$  if and only if the sequence  $d_1 + 1, d_2, d_3, \dots, d_{m-1}, d_m + 1$  is same as the sequence  $d_1 + 1, d_3, d_5, \dots, d_{m-2}, d_m + 1, d_2, d_4, \dots, d_{m-1}$  in any order (Refer Figure 3).

**Case 2**: Let  $e = u_l v_m$ , where  $u_l$  is a pendant vertex adjacent to  $v_l$ . By Theorem 2.2, either l is even and m is odd or m is even and l is odd.

If *l* is even and *m* is odd, then the vertex  $v_l$  belongs to  $G_1$  and both the vertices  $u_l$  and  $v_m$  belongs to  $G_2$  in con(G). When we add an edge joining  $u_l$  and  $v_m$ ,  $u_l$  becomes adjacent to all the vertices of  $K_{d_m}$  in con(G). So  $K_{d_m}$  is changed to  $K_{d_m+1}$ . Also,  $v_l$  becomes adjacent to  $v_m$ . In L(G) we have a new vertex corresponding to *e* which is adjacent to exactly one



## FIGURE 3

vertex of  $K_{d_l}$  and all the vertices of  $K_{d_m}$ . So  $K_{d_m}$  changed to  $K_{d_m+1}$  and there is an edge connecting one vertex of  $K_{d_l}$  with one vertex of  $K_{d_m+1}$ . Thus in con(G) the vertex  $v_l$  is common to  $K_{d_{l-1}}, K_{d_{l+1}}$  and  $K_2$ . But such a vertex do not exist in L(G) implies  $v_l = v_1$ . which is a contradiction to our assumption that l is even.

If *m* is even and *l* is odd, we can prove as before that  $v_l = v_1$ . Also in con(G), the vertex  $v_m$  is common to  $K_{d_{m-1}}, K_{d_{m+1}}$  and  $K_2$ . But such vertex do not exists in L(G) implies  $V(K_{d_{m+1}}) = V(K_{d_{m+2}}) = \ldots = \emptyset$ . As in Case 1 it is clear that  $L(G) \cong con(G)$  if and only if the sequence  $d_1, d_m + 1, d_{m-2}, d_{m-4}, \ldots, d_2, 2, d_{m-1}, \ldots, d_3$  is a cyclic permutation of  $d_1, d_2, d_3, \ldots, d_{m-1}, d_m + 1, 2$ .

**Case 3**: Let  $e = u_l u_m$  and the non pendant vertices adjacent to  $u_l$  and  $u_m$  are  $v_l$  and  $v_m$  respectively. By Theorem 2.2, l and m are either both even or both odd. In this case there are three subcases.

### Subcase 1: $v_l = v_m$ .

In this case in con(G), the vertex  $v_l$ , which is the common vertex of  $K_{d_{l-1}}$  and  $K_{d_{l+1}}$  becomes adjacent to both  $u_l$  and  $u_m$  (in  $K_{d_l}$ ) in the other component of con(T). On the other hand in L(G), we have a new vertex which is adjacent to two vertices in  $K_{d_l}$ . If  $L(G) \cong con(G)$ , then  $V(K_{d_{l+1}}) = \{v_l\}$  and  $V(K_{d_{l+2}}) = V(K_{d_{l+3}}) = \cdots = \emptyset$ . Then in con(G) the vertex  $v_l$  becomes the common vertex of  $K_{d_{l-1}}$  and  $K_3$  and this  $K_3$  and  $K_{d_l}$ have a common edge. Since such a vertex does not exist in L(G),  $V(K_{d_1}) = V(K_{d_2}) =$  $\cdots = V(K_{d_{l-2}}) = \emptyset$  and  $V(K_{d_{l-1}}) = \{v_l\}$ . That is possible only when  $v_l = v_1$ . Hence we can conclude that  $L(G) \cong con(G)$  if and only if G is a star graph together with one edge joining any two pendant vertices.

*Subcase* 2: *l* and *m* are even.

In con(G), the vertex  $v_l$ , is the common vertex of  $K_{d_{l-1}}$ ,  $K_{d_{l+1}}$  and  $K_2$ . But in L(G) there does not exist a vertex common to three cliques. So as in Case 2, we have to conclude that  $v_l = v_1$ , which is a contradiction to our assumption.

*Subcase* 3: *l* and *m* are odd.

In con(G), the vertex  $v_l$  becomes adjacent to  $u_m$ , and  $v_m$  becomes adjacent to  $u_l$ . In L(G), the vertex corresponding to the edge  $u_l u_m$  is adjacent to one vertex in  $K_{d_l}$  (the vertex corresponding to the edge  $v_l u_l$ ) and one vertex in  $K_{d_m}$  (the vertex corresponding to the edge  $v_l u_l$ ) and one vertex in  $K_{d_m}$  (the vertex corresponding to the edge  $v_m u_m$ ). i.e., In con(G) the vertex  $v_l$  is the common vertex of  $K_{d_{l-1}}$ ,

 $K_{d_{l+1}}$  and  $K_2$  and the vertex  $v_m$ , is the common vertex of  $K_{d_{m-1}}$ ,  $K_{d_{m+1}}$  and  $K_2$ . But in L(G) there does not exist a vertex common to three cliques. If  $L(G) \cong con(G)$ , then  $V(K_{d_{m+1}}) = \{v_m\}$ ,  $V(K_{d_{m+2}}) = V(K_{d_{m+3}}) = \cdots = \emptyset$  and  $v_l = v_1$ . Hence  $L(G) \cong con(G)$ if and only if the sequence  $d_2, d_4, d_6, \ldots, d_{m-1}, 2, d_1, d_3, \ldots, d_m, 2$  is a cyclic permutation of  $d_1, d_2, d_3, \ldots, d_{m-1}, d_m, 2, 2$ .

## Conclusion

In this paper we have characterized the graphs for which the line graph and common neighborhood graph are isomorphic. Finding similar results for some other graph operators such as the Gallai graph, the anti-Gallai graph, block graph, total graph and middle graph is open.

#### REFERENCES

- Alwardi, A.; Arsić, B; Gutman, I.; Soner, N. D. The Common Neighborhood Graph and Its Energy, Iran. J. Math. Sci. Inform. 7 (2012), no. 2, 1–8.
- [2] Balakrishnan, R.; Ranganathan, K. A Text Book of Graph Theory. Springer (1999).
- [3] Acharya, B. D.; Vartak M. N. Open Neighbourhood Graphs, Indian Institute of Technology Department of Mathematics Research Report No. 6. (1973).
- [4] Cvetcovic D. Graphs and Their Spectra, (Thesis). Univ. Beograd, Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat. Fiz. 354/356. (1971), 1–50.
- [5] Exoo, G.; Harary, F. Step Graphs. J. of Combin. Inform. System Sci. 5 (1980), no.1, 52-53.
- [6] Greenberg, H. J.; Lundgren, J. R.; Maybee, J. S. The Inversion of 2- step Graphs. J. of Combin., Inform. System Sci. 8 (1983), 33–43.
- [7] Palathingal, Jeepamol J.; Aparna Lakshmanan, S. Gallai and anti-Gallai Graph Operators. Electron. Notes Discrete Math. 63 (2017), 447–453.
- [8] Palathingal, Jeepamol J.; Anu, V.; Aparna Lakshmanan, S. A Note on Common Neighborhood Graph. AIP Conf. Proc. 2773, 060001 (2023).
- [9] Prisner, E. Graph Dynamics. Longman, (1995).
- [10] Prisner, E. Line Graphs and Generalizations- A Survey. Congr. Numer. 116 (1996) 193-229.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PM GOVERNMENT COLLEGE, CHALAKUDY-680722, KERALA, INDIA. ST. PETER'S COLLEGE, KOLENCHERY DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS KOLENCHERY, 682 311, KERALA, INDIA. COCHIN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS COCHIN - 22, KERALA, INDIA. *Email address*: jeepamoljp@gmail.com, anusaji1980@gmail.com, aparnals@cusat.ac.in  $\square$